UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,025	08/26/2008	Matthew Fyfe	NC-10009/US	6838
	7590 06/07/201 CEUTICALS, LLC	EXAMINER		
1 Bioscience Park Drive			SHTERENGARTS, SAMANTHA L	
Farmingdale, NY 11735			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/07/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/584,025	FYFE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Samantha Shterengarts	1626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.					
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	<i>x parte Quayle</i> , 1935 G.D. 11, 4:	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1 and 9-23 is/are pending in the appli						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/1/2011. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/584,025 Page 2

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. The instant application is a national stage entry of PCT/GB2004/050046, filed December 23, 2004, which claims priority to U.S. Provisional application no. 60/532,370, filed December 24, 2003.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on April 1, 2011 was in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98. The IDS document was considered. A signed copy of form 1449 is enclosed herewith.

Election/Restrictions

- 3. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in the reply filed on April 1, 2011 is acknowledged.
- 4. As per MPEP 803.02, the Examiner will determine whether the entire scope of the claims is patentable. Applicant's' elected species, Example 41, makes a contribution over the prior art of record. Therefore, according to MPEP 803.02: should the elected species appear allowable, the search of the Markush-type claim will be extended. If the search is extended and a non-elected species is not found allowable, the Markush-type claim shall be rejected and claims to the nonelected invention held withdrawn from further consideration. The search of the Markush-type claim has been extended to include the products of the Formula (I).

As a non-elected species has been found not allowable, the Markush-type claims have been rejected and claims to the nonelected invention held withdrawn from further consideration. Since art was found on a nonelected species, subject matter not embraced by the elected

Application/Control Number: 10/584,025 Page 3

Art Unit: 1626

embodiment or nonelected species is therefore withdrawn from further consideration. It has been determined that the entire scope claimed is not patentable.

Status of the Claims

5. Currently, Claims 1 and 9-23 are pending in the instant application. Claims 11, 14, and 19-23 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention and species. Claims 1, 9-10, 12-13, and 15-18, read on an elected invention and species and are therefore under consideration in the instant application.

Claim Objections

6. Claims 16 and 17 are objected to for depending on a rejected base claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 15 is indefinite and does not define the invention because claims that incorporate by reference the exemplified compounds in the specification are not specific. Statements that incorporate by reference lack any fixed legal meaning and can lead to vagueness and misinterpretation. Ex Parte Fressola, 27 U.S.P.Q. 2d 1608 (B.P.A.I. 1993)

8. Claims 1, 9, 12-13, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1626

The definition of variable R2 from claim 1 is cited reproduced below:

R² is 4- to 7-membered cycloalkyl substituted by R³, C(O)OR³, C(O)R³ or S(O)₂R³, or 4- to 7-membered heterocyclyl, containing one or two nitrogen atoms which is unsubstituted or substituted by C(O)OR⁴, C(O)R³, S(O)₂R³, C(O)NHR⁴, P(O)(OR¹³)₂ or a 5- or 6-membered nitrogen containing heteroaryl group;

It is unclear whether "a 5- or 6- membered nitrogen containing heteroaryl group" is an option for variable R2, or whether it is a substituent when R2 is a substituted 4- to 7- membered heterocyclyl ring containing one or two nitrogen atoms. Examiner cannot ascertain the metes and bounds of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1, 9, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent no. 3,647,809 (Reiter et al).

Reiter et al. teaches the following compound

♥ National accepts distingui	
	L-1
	wherein B is –CH2-CH2- wherein n is
	wherein b is Cliz-Cliz- wherein it is

2, R2 is 6 membered heterocyclyl containing one nitrogen atom, Y is O, X is N, and R1 is 4-pyridyl substituted with ethyl.

Application/Control Number: 10/584,025 Page 5

Art Unit: 1626

1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art. 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 10. Claims 1, 9, 10, 12, 13, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schaper et al. (WO 2002/012229).

Determination of the scope and contents of the prior art

Schaper et al. (WO 2002/012229) discloses obvious variants of the instantly claimed compounds.

Ascertaining the differences between the instant claims and the prior art

The following are some of the example compounds taught by Schaper et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/584,025

Art Unit: 1626

wherein the pyridine ring is connected at the 3-

position rather than the instantly claimed 4- position.

Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art – Prima facie case of obviousness

With regards to positional isomers, MPEP 2144.09.II. states, "Compounds which are position isomers (compounds having the same radicals in physically different positions on the same nucleus) or homologs (compounds differing regularly by the successive addition of the same chemical group, e.g., by -CH2- groups) are generally of sufficiently close structural similarity that there is a presumed expectation that such compounds possess similar properties. In re Wilder, 563 F.2d 457, 195USPQ 426 (CCPA 1977).

In positional isomerism, a functional group changes position on the chain or ring. As claimed, these two positional isomers have identical intended uses as well. As stated in *In re Norris* 179 F.2d 970, 84 U.S.P.Q. 458 (C.C.P.A. 1970), a novel useful compound that is isomeric with the prior art compound is unpatentable unless it possesses some unobvious or unexpected beneficial property not possessed by the prior art compound. In other words, if the positional isomers of the instant application produced unexpected results that would not be obvious to one

of ordinary skill in the art, they would be patentably distinct; however, there is no evidence of such results in the instant application.

One of ordinary skill would be motivated, from the disclosure in the prior art, to make the modifications required to arrive at the instant invention with reasonable expectation of success for obtaining a compound with the same utility. The motivation to make the change would be to make additional compound for the quoted purpose.

Thus, the instant claims are *prima facie* obvious.

Conclusion

- 11. No claims are allowed.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samantha Shterengarts whose telephone number is (571)270-5316. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 9-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Joseph K. McKane can be reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/584,025 Page 8

Art Unit: 1626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Samantha L. Shterengarts/ Examiner, Art Unit 1626

/Rebecca L Anderson/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626